

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN JOSE DIVISION

12) Case No.: C 08-03172 RMW (PSG)
13)
14) **INTERIM ORDER RE PLAINTIFF**
15) **SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE,**
16) **LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS**
17) **TO FILE UNDER SEAL**
18) (Docket Nos. 368, 376, 402, 404)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

18 Plaintiff Software Rights Archive, LLC ("SRA") administratively moves to file under seal
19 portions of its discovery motions and certain exhibits in their entirety. SRA submits these exhibits
20 in support of its pending discovery motions. Pursuant to the governing protective order, SRA
21 explains that the documents sought to be filed under seal contain Defendants' trade secrets or other
22 confidential research and development, technical, sales, marketing, financial, personnel, customer,
23 vendor, or other commercial information. For the reasons set forth herein, a ruling on SRA
24 administrative motions to file under seal is DEFERRED.

25 Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) states that if a party seeks to file a document designated confidential by
26 another party pursuant to a protective order, the submitting party must file and serve an
27 administrative motion for a sealing order and lodge the document, memorandum or other filing in
28 accordance with the rule. The designating party must then file and serve "a declaration establishing

1 that the designated information is sealable, and must lodge and serve a narrowly tailored proposed
2 sealing order, or must withdraw the designation of confidentiality” within 7 days.

3 Here, Defendants designated the information and documents as confidential. Pursuant to
4 Civ. L.R. 79-5(d), SRA administratively moved for a sealing order in accordance with the rule.
5 Defendants, however, have not filed any declarations establishing that the designated information is
6 sealable and they have not lodged any narrowly tailored proposed sealing orders. Despite this
7 failure, Defendants will be given until noon on June 30, 2011 to file appropriate declarations setting
8 forth good cause why any document, including portions of SRA’s pending discovery motions and
9 any exhibits in their entirety, should be filed under seal. Defendants are advised that their sealing
10 requests must be narrowly tailored in light of the “strong presumption in favor of access” to court
11 documents.¹

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: June 22, 2011

14 
15 PAUL S. GREWAL
16 United States Magistrate Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

¹ See *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179-81 (9th Cir. 2006).